Court No.2

Civil Writ Petition No. 1316 (S/B) of 2002.

Mohd. Aslam and four others ……. Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Uttaranchal and another …… Respondents.

……

Hon'ble P.C.Verma,J.
Hon'ble Irshad Hussain,J.

Heard Sri Dinesh Gahatori, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri N.B.Tewari, learned counsel for the State.

According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners have obtained Moallim-E-Urdu certificate from Zamia-E-Urdu, Aligarh which has been treated to be equal to that of B.T.C., vide Government order dated 13th Sept.,1994. According to Sri N.B.Terwari, learned Addl. Chief Standing Counsel, the equivalence has been withdrawn from the year 1997 as is evident from this Court's order dated 25.09.2002 passed in Civil Writ Petition No.794 (S/B) of 2002.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that since the petitioners have obtained their certificates during the period of continuance of equivalence, therefore the petitioners are to be treated as trained B.T.C. (Urdu).

Considering the facts and circumstances, it is provided that in case in the State of Uttaranchal equivalence is being continued or the benefit of that period is being given to the certificate holders of Moallim-E-Urdu, the petitioners shall also be given the same benefit.

In view of above observations, the petition is disposed of finally.

(P.C.Verma,J.) **(Irshad Hussain,J.)**

03.10.2002
P.Singh